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Abstract
The European ballad, an orally-performed narrative song, developed in the medieval period with many cross-fertilizations among ballad types in various language areas. Nevertheless, to date there have appeared only a handful of comparative studies of these pan-european themes, with investigations dominated by the Finnish geographical school, whose primary interest is in finding genetic archetypes. In this study, my aim is, rather, to do a typological and stylistic analysis of one wide-circulating song-type, known in many variants throughout the continent, some in comic and others in tragic versions. The ballad I shall analyze appears in Hungarian in several variants as "A Megcsalt férfj," in Anglo-American tradition -- recorded in over 400 variants – the ballad is known as "Our Goodman," or "The Cuckold's Song," or, in more blatantly obscene versions as "The Old Man Came Home" and "Home Drunk Cam' I". There also exist Spanish, Catalan, French, Italian, and even Yiddish versions, all of which I shall be taking into consideration.

In this paper I shall study one widely circulating European ballad tradition concerning wifely infidelity to illustrate that, although details among versions are temporally and culturally variable, they all belong to one narrative deep structure having to do with “cunningly lingual” wives and cuckolded husband. These adulterous wives are a subcategory of the persistent antifeminist stereotype of the “unruly woman”, whose too active mouth, whether its voraciousness, garrulousness, or verbal cunning, implies bodily misrule, a topsy-turvy displacement of her even more fearful orifice, the vagina. I can only mention noteworthy highlights from several traditions of ballads, so as to be able to concentrate on the Hungarian corpus. Through a gender-conscious reading I will show that these retold tales that pretend to be about conjugal relations are merely another variant of misogynist male discourse on women, where the “misogyny reveals far more about masculinity and male views of the feminine than about real women” (Gaunt 71). In addition, hegemonic masculinist readings, including by scholars, have been complicit in a directed reading away from the misogyny.

The earliest known variants of the ballad I shall discuss survive in two traditional medieval Spanish ballads, known also in hundreds of modern oral variants. Beyond Hispanic tradition, versions also appears in many other languages, including French, Italian, in the Balkans, and even in Yiddish, with the most widespread variants are in Anglo-American tradition (for a detailed analysis of these traditions see Vasvari 2008).

All these ballads narrate how a husband returns home unexpectedly and surprises his wife with her lover. The lover’s presence is gradually revealed through a series of tell-tale clues – typically a horse, sword, a hat, and perhaps physical evidence, such as his clothes, or, finally, even the sight of his moustache in the bed. The narrative core of the ballad is a reiterative “testing dialogue,” in which the husband questions his wife about all the signs of her infidelity and through a smokescreen of cunning semiotic ruses she attempts to manipulate all the signs of her guilt by relocating them in a new context created by her women’s speech. She might claim, for example, that the saddled horse standing outside is a gift from her father for the husband, or, more ludicrously, that it is
the cow that the maid left there, that the lover’s blue dolman on the bed is actually a mildewed bedspread, or, in an obscene variant, even that the lover’s genitalia are parsnips, or perhaps beets! While the structure (but not the details) of the dramatic testing dialogue occur in almost all versions of the ballad, there are a variety of possible endings, ranging from the sadistic to the merely punitive, to the ambiguous and the comic, with the latter ending with the wife’s linguistic and sexual victory -- a carnivalesque temporary suspension or reversal of normal rules of patriarchal culture.

It is the Hispanic variants of The Adulterous Wife, that have been most widely studied, with some earlier critics claiming that since the common origin of the plot is from a medieval comic fabliau, the originality of the Spanish version resides in having given the theme a morally superior tragic orientation, where the wife gets her deserved punishment. In fact, however, although the two extant oldest versions end with the wife’s death, among the hundreds of modern versions there are also many humorous ones. And, as we shall see, Hungarian tradition contains a far more violently sadistic versions than any known in earlier Hispanic tradition.

Although ballad collection in Hungary started very late, with the first printed collections dating, not coincidentally, from the period of the war of independence in 1846-48, it is likely that some of the earliest themes (such as that of the building sacrifice) go back to ancient traditions (Balassa & Ortutay: 515, Dundes). Whereas Vargyas conjectures, probably without adequate justification, that the Hungarian version was a late addition to the tradition, based on a German ballad with circulated in the eighteenth century, Armistead (“Ballad” & “Hungary”: 70-71) has cogently argued for the importance in the Hungarian repertoire of the region’s interaction with neighboring traditions.

The Hungarian ballad called “Bárcsai” by the lover’s name, is known only in Transylvania, in five versions and one fragment (English versions in Leader 232-39, Vargyas Hungarian Ballads 11-14, Balassa & Ortutáy 531-32). The fifty line Bárcsai begins in direct dialogue, with the deceitful wife urging the husband to go to Kolozsvár to bring her back some batiste from her father’s house, when their son interrupts to warn the father not to leave because the mother loves Bárcsai: *ne menj apám, ne menj, aj ne menj házrol ki: Anyámasszony bizony Bárcsaí szereti* ‘Do not go, father, do not go, aye, do not leave the house, / for mother is in love with Barcsai’. This beginning is unusual in that the wife does not merely use the opportunity of her husband being away but actively plots his departure, and even more unusual is active voice of the son because normally the mention of children in adultery stories is avoided, as their presence would be too suggestive that that child may not be the father’s either.

Heeding his son’s words, the husband decides to turn back halfway. On his return he asks his wife to open the door, and there ensues a ritual series of requests and delaying tactics where she asks him, in turn, to wait until she puts on her skirt, her apron, her newly-soled boots, and her scarf. Here the ritual questions are not a testing dialogue about the signs of the other man, as in most of the variants, but rather a catalogue of the (presumably undressed) wife’s reverse striptease. Vargyas claims that there are no known analogues to this ballad, but this scene actually illustrates the clear interaction with other traditions, as, for example a Catalan version, where another adulterous wife who hears a knock says she is ready to open for her lover but would take time to put on her clothes and shoes if it is her husband (Vasvari Heterotextual 77, n. 4).
Losing patience, the husband finally breaks down the door and demands the key to the chest in which the lover is hiding. The wife claims to have lost the key in the garden but he kicks the chest open, finds the lover and cuts off his head. The scene is familiar from fabliau tradition, with the sexual suggestiveness of phallic key, a folk symbol for the male organ (as, for example, in the jazz lyric, *You’ve got the right key but the wrong keyhole*), and the lover hiding in the chest, in turn sexually suggestive of the woman’s sexual organ. Nevertheless, here it is really the lengthy dénouement with the husband’s sadistic verbal and physical revenge that is most important. He offers her three choices of death: to cut off her head off, to sweep the house with her hair, or to let her sit up till morning bearing candles to entertain seven guests:

> Három halál közül melyiket választod:
  Vaj főbe löjjelek, vaj fejedet veghem,
  Vaj hét asztal vendégnek vigon gyertyát tartasz”

> ‘Do you hear, wife, do you hear, wife, do you hear?
Which one will you choose of the three deaths’

> Have your choice now: shall I take your head?
Or shall I sweep the house with your silk hair?

> Or would you prefer to sit up till morn,
And bear candle to the entertainment of seven boards of guests’

The wife naturally falls into the trap of choosing the third option, which is in actuality the most sadistic one. The husband then orders the servants to roll her up head to toe in oilcloth covered in pitch and the batiste that was “given free” by his father-in-law (an illogical detail, since having turned back halfway, he would not have brought home the cloth), in effect making a candle out of her. In another version he has this done not by the servant but twelve young men, who are then to feast all night, with gypsy music playing, as she burns to death. This very sadistic version shows that the husband’s performance of heterosexual masculinity – here the salvaging of his honor -- has to be acted out in a disciplinary program, whereby males need to show that they can master their wives in front of other men in a homosocial bonding situation, in this case specifically with young unmarried men, who are to learn from it how to deal with insubordinate women (for a similar case see my analysis of *Dec 9.9*, in “Buon cavallo”).

Alongside this sadistic Bárcsai there exist comic adultery ballads in Hungarian, where it is less the craftiness of the woman that is foregrounded than the stupidity of the henpecked and cuckolded husband. In a one-hundred verse ballad collected by Béla Bartok from a twenty-six year old woman in 1918, *A megcsalt férj* ‘the deceived husband’ (Vargyas, *A Magyar népballada*, 936-38) the husband is so aware of his plight that that as he goes deeper and deeper into the house, from the barn, to the kitchen, hall, the main room, and the bedroom, he keeps on repeating: *Ehagyatott, megcsalhatott / férj vagyok mindég* (‘Am I not a silly, deceived husband I have ever been?’). The couple
exchange incongruously endearing vocatives during their interchange, he beginning each questions with the ironic *kérdem edes kedves felesegem* [I ask you] my dear sweet wife’ and she, in turn, replying *mi baj van, angyalom* what’s wrong my angel’, a detail probably translated from a German version, which has *herzliches Weibchen* and *mein Schätzchen*, respectively.

Although F. W. Meyer did translate “The Tenant Farmer’s Return” from English in 1790, which he called a *Bänkersängerlied* ‘street ballad’, the Hungarian version has enough difference to show that it has clearly entered oral tradition and been influenced by other variants. For example, in the German version the boots are beer tankards, the swords are spits to roast larks, and the jackets are tablecloths. As in the English predecessor, the husband sees, as he goes deeper and deeper into his house, from barn, to kitchen, to hall, to living room to room, to bedroom: three horses, which wife calls milk cows her mother sent, three boots, which she calls beer tankard, three swords which she calls spits for larks (*Lerchenspitze*), three jackets which she calls tablecloths, until he gets to the bedroom and in bed he sees three knights, whom she calls milkmaids; then comes the punchline: ‘I’ve never seen milkmaids with mustaches (Zwinkelharte).

In the Hungarian version the testing dialogue proceeds in five parts. The husband asks, in turn, whose are the boots, the swords (in the plural), the pair of soldiers’ hats, the coats, and he gets the replies that they are milk jugs, swords, kitchen knives, milk pots, and the servant girl’s mildewed dress. The wife’s replies are not merely lies but transparently ludicrous reinterpretations, of language, attempting to deceive the husband both verbally and visually to convince him, literally, not to believe his eyes. Finally, he asks, who are the pair of soldiers on his bed and she replies it is her grandmother’s maid sweeping the room, to which he snaps back what should be the punch line: *szolgálonak pörge bajszá* ‘Aj, ki látott már (‘who ever saw maids with red moustaches’).

At this point, the comical version should end, or at best continue with an appropriate revenge, as in the German version, where he beats his wife, claiming they were caresses sent by her mother, which Vargyas deemed to be a very “felicitous ending,” but which I have called elsewhere “bawdy battering,” that is, where a wife’s beating is considered the height of humor because it is embedded in a comic text (Vasvari “Buon cavallo”). However, here the ending suddenly turns brutal, with the husband declaring that he will hold a ball and behind the door there awaits a knotted rope and a big cudgel, an ending that is clearly a contamination from Bárcsai. The knotted rope also recalls the Hungarian proverb: *a kötél ásztatva, az asszony verve jó* (‘a rope is good when wet and a woman when beaten’). Nor can this sadistic version simply be a confused version recounted by one person because it appears in another version as well. This is a clear indication that even if this comic version is a translation from the German, the deep structure adultery plot is so primary that it is possible to fuse such disparate treatments.

In living twentieth-century Hungarian and Roma tradition there are a number of comic songs about adulterous wives reduced only to the bare-bones testing dialogue, which I offer here in translation. The first is translated from Roma (Csikó, Csenki) and the second was collected by Bártok and Kodály, *A magyar népzene tára*, no. 454. We should not be surprised by the image of *Puss in Boots* in the first verse, if we consider its potential erotic suggestiveness:

Az Ablaknál ki járt éppen?
Mondd meg nekem, feleségem!
Ablakom alatt ki járt ma?
Csak a szomszédok macskaja,
Szomszédunké ottan hátra,
Szomszédunké ottan hátra.

De macskának nincs kalapja,
A macskának nincs kalapja,
Se a lábán nincsen csizma,
Se a lábán nincsen csizma.
Ej, dehogy a macska volt az,
A szeretőd maga volt az.

‘Who just walked by under the window /Tell me, my wife! / /Who walked under the
window today? / Just the neighbor’s cat, / our neighbor’s back there, / our neighbor’s
back there. // But cats don’t wear a hat, / cats don’t wear a hat, / and they don’t wear
boots either,’ and don’t wear boots either. // No, it certainly wasn’t the cat but it was your
lover himself.

Sári lányom, ki járt itt, ki járt itt,/kinek a nagy pipaszára maradt itt?
Gépész, uram, jára itt, jára itt. / Annak a nagy pipaszára maradt itt.
Hát az ágyat mi lölte, mi lölte,/ Mért van ugy összegyürve, de gyürve?
Cica fogott egeret, egeret, / Jatszott vele eleget, eleget.
Hát a hasad mi lölte, mi lölte, / Miert van igy megpüffedve, püffedve?
Túrot ettem fikhagymát, fikhagymát, / Attol püffedt ekkorát, ekkorát.

(‘ My dear Sarah, who was here, who was here, / whose big pipe stem got left behind? / A mechanic was here, my husband, / it was his big pipe stem that got left behind. // And
the bed, who poked at it, who poked at it? / Why is it wrinkled, all wrinkled?/ The kitten
catched a mouse, a mouse / and played with it a lot, a lot./ And what poked at your
stomach, what poked it? / Why is it so puffed up, puffed up? / I ate farmer cheese with
garlic, / and that’s why it puffed up so much, so much.)

In the nineteenth century there were also a number of songs collected in French
and Italian dialects that had comic dénouments. However, by far the most widespread
comic versions are in Anglophone tradition, in two main variants, Our Goodman and the
Merry Cuckold, , where the song is a folk classic, perhaps the most popular ballad in oral
tradition. Over four hundred versions have been collected in British and American
tradition, including many from hillbilly recordings, and as far away as New Zealand and
even India. Because of its erotic nature Francis James Child only exceptionally allowed it
into his magnum collection, according it “brusque disrespect” (Child V. 274). Our
Goodman is better classified as a joke ballad, a narration of a humorous event working
towards the surprise punch line, so that if the punch line is omitted or ruined the story is
ruined, which explains why some Spanish and Hungarian versions with the violent
endings grafted on cannot work except for those who find the wife battering hilarious. In
a broader sense, Our Goodman belongs to jokelore, a liminal popular oral discourse, the
vast majority of whose manifestations are male-to-male, commenting on gender relations
and aimed at relieving unfulfilled or failed sexual desire, with blame always placed on woman as, alternately, promiscuous or frigid (Dreitser).

In *Our Goodman* the husband comes home drunk every night, a detail omitted in the *The Merry Cuckold*, where, on the other hand, the extra ludicrousness is provided by the husband coming home and finding not one but three men in his bed. Both proceed with versions of the expected testing dialogue, where the wife gives absurd replies, claiming that the horse is a pig, or that the three horses are milking cows, the sword[s] are a porridge-spurtle or roasting spits, the wig is a hen, or, alternately, that the three cloaks are bedspreads, the boots pudding bags, the three pairs of breeches, petticoats, the three hats, skimming dishes, and the head of the lover in bed, a mush melon, or the three lovers in bed, milk-maids.

Punch lines include: *Godzounds! Milking maids with beards on! The like was never known!* or, in another version, *But whiskers on a baby’s face / I never saw before,* or the somewhat more snappy *I’ve traveled this wide world over, a hundred miles or more, / but whiskers on a mush melon I never did see before* (with the Yiddish variant, *But whiskers on a cabbage head I never saw before*). In fact, in many cases informants were handicapped by modesty and would not reveal much more obscene versions they knew, and in other cases collectors would not write down such versions (on the bowdlerization and expurgation of sexual folklore, see Goldstein: 374). Fortunately we have a few of these collected in Eugene Vance’s collection of “unprintable folk songs,” which give a flavor of some of those bowdlerized punch lines, as in (53-57; see also Goldstein, 376): *But a pecker on a hired girl/ I never seen before; But ballyx on a rolling pin/ I never seen before,* or to the question: *Whose prick is in the cunt where mine had ought to be?* the following answer:

> You old fool, you blind fool, an’ can’t you plainly see
> It’s nothin’ but a parsnip my granny sent to me?—
> Well, its’ miles I have traveled, this wide world all o’er,
> But ballyx on a parsnip I never saw before.

while in the tamer versions the lover is metonymically represented by his beard of whiskers, which is, along with face, forehead, eyes, and mouth, the public part of his face which concentrates social identity, in the obscene versions he is metonymically reduced to his private parts, which normally are concealed. Worse, these sex organs are described as parsnips, or in another version, pickled beets, what I have elsewhere dubbed “vegetal-genital onomastics.”

As I was preparing this study I happened to receive an e-mail version of jokelore that in its deep structure clearly belonged to this same tradition, but with a difference, for here for the first time we get a husband who gives more than ample cause for his wife’s
adultery, and it is she who gets the appropriate punch line, as well. Could this finally be a subversive version in oral jokelore of our tired retold tale? I offer here a translation of the Hungarian version I heard, but I assume that English versions circulate, as well:

A wife says to her husband in a dissatisfied voice:
-- Géza! The light isn’t working.
-- What am I, an electrician?

The next day she says again.
-- Géza, the faucet is leaking.
-- What am I, a plumber?

The third day.
-- Géza! The shelf fell off.
-- What am I, a carpenter?

The next day the husband steps into the house, and the lights are lit, the faucet doesn’t drip, even the shelf is in its place.
-- Who fixed these?
-- The neighbor came over and he said he’d fix everything, if I bake him a cake or I go to bed with him.
-- And what did you do?
-- What am I, a baker?

In a continuation of the spirit of the medieval discourse on women, where the earliest versions of these tales originated, some scholars today are still capable of misreading misogynist texts as if they were guidebooks for morality. Wolfgang Spiewok (xii) raises the question why might it be that so many German novelle ridicule cuckolds, and why in a society where a husband would have had the legal right to kill both the wife and lover, they escape without punishment. He concludes that these stories were a warning to married men, and that, after all, these “cheerful and amusing” stories can be useful to the modern Leser ‘[male] reader’ who, if he finds himself in the role of the husband, will be able to learn some lessons, which today are still full of life and worthy of being read.

In contrast to such masculinist misreading, in a perceptive study Rüdiger Schnell (776-82) discusses how the most important boundary in discourses on the sexes is not between positive and negative representations of women, as both are the result of the same andocentric perspective aimed at the domestication and repression of women. What is more important is the distinction between the discourse on woman and the discourse on marriage, where in the former images of women are constructed without any critical reflection about men, as in all but the very last jokelore example of my study. In contrast, the discourse on marriage discussed the difficulties of married life and presents a more differentiated view of women.
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